

7664



DOCKET NO. BP1908
Customer No. 51,472



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Lin et al.

Serial No. 10/008,873

Filed: November 8, 2001


For: Scalable Synchronous
Packet Transmit
Scheduler

Group No.: 2664

Examiner: Fox, Jamal A.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER RULE 8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 with sufficient First Class Postage and is addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on September 21, 2005.

By: 

Barbara A. Adkins

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action having a mailed date of July 26, 2005, please find enclosed the following:

1. Response to Official Action Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
2. Return Receipt Post Card

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 21, 2005

/Kevin L. Smith/

Kevin L. Smith, Reg. No. 38,620
Attorney for Applicant

Garlick Harrison & Markison, LLP
P.O. Box 670007
Dallas, TX 75367
(972) 772-8836/office
(972) 772-5033/facsimile



DOCKET NO. BP1908

Customer No. 51,472

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Lin et al.

Serial No. 10/008,873

Filed: November 8, 2001


For: Scalable Synchronous
Packet Transmit
Scheduler

Group No.: 2664

Examiner: Fox, Jamal A.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER RULE 8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the
United States Mail under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8 with sufficient First
Class Postage and is addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment,
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA
22313-1450, on September 21, 2005.

By: 
Barbara A. Adkins

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action having a mailed date of July 26, 2005, the
Applicant hereby makes the following arguments and remarks. As such, reconsideration of
the action and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested and are believed
to be appropriate in view of the following:

Amendment to the Specification – begins on page 2 of this paper.

Amendment to the Claims begins on page 3 of this paper.

Amendment to the Drawings – N/A

Remarks begin on page 6 of this paper.